

## UNITED STATES LLYARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**Patent and Trademark Office** 

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

SIX

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 08/645,073 05/10/98 YOSHIOKA Μ 1046.1133/JD **EXAMINER** FM82/0211 GREGORY, B STAAS & HALSEY 700 ELEVENTH STREET NW ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 500 WASHINGTON DC 20001 3662 DATE MAILED: 02/11/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



## **Advisory Action**

Application No.

08/645,073

Applicant(s)

Makoto Yoshioka et al

Examiner

Bernarr Earl Gregory

Group Art Unit 3662



THE	PERI	OD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
		expires
	) [	the state of the state of the state of the Advisory Action whichever
d	ate on	tension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ted from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
☐ <i>A</i>	ppell eriod	ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
App but	licant is NO	's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>1 Feb 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, T deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
ו 🛚	he pr	oposed amendment(s):
	] wi	Il be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	] wi	Il not be entered because:
	X	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
· _ < *takini	X	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NO	TE: <u>Proposed claim 20 would be rejectable over prior art. For example, a ticket for a performance of a show</u> (i.e., a time interval) that identifies the particular performance allws access to data (i.e., the show).
	_ _ _	oplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Newly separ	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
		ffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition owance because:
		ffidavit-or-exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by a
X	For p	urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
		s allowed: 1-8
		s objected to: none
	Claim	s rejected: 9-19
	The p	roposed drawing correction filed on has not been approved by the Examiner.
	Note	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)
X	Other	AMENDRENT & WITHOUT CLAIM ZO Would be entered if
		resubmitted. Jum Ent Non
		BERNARR EARL GREGORY PRIMARY EXAMINER  FEL, ((7-03)) 306-5765 ART UNIT 3662